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Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the course of dementia and memory deficits that affect millions of people and are responsible for cognitive and functional decline, mostly in older adults (1, 2). AD is characterized by cognitive impairments and memory difficulties, which cause daily activities, and personal and behavioral problems (1, 3). Neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs), including hyperphosphorylated tau protein (p tau) and elevated extracellular Amyloid β (Aβ) plaques in regions responsible for memory and other cognitive functions in the course of dementia (4, 5). NFTs are typical brain lesions that consist of aggregated and hyperphosphorylated forms of tau protein, which leads to the loss of its ability to binding microtubules and assembled them into paired helical filaments. Intracellular NFTs in regions involved in cognitive functions are associated with cognitive decline by the disruption in axonal transport and neural loss (6, 7).

Researchers investigated Aβ, total Tau and Tau phosphorylated at threonine 181 (p tau 181) in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), and Positron emission tomography (PET) as biomarkers for AD diagnoses. However, in recent years plasma biomarkers emerged as new tools and showed sufficient power in detecting AD patients from healthy people (8). Blood-based measures showed that p tau 181 might be a reliable biomarker for AD and disease progression (9-11). However, there is limited evidence of the effect of plasma p tau 181 on white matter connections and microstructural changes in AD patients (12-15). We hypothesized plasma p tau 181 level could predict structural brain connections changes in regions that play a role in cognitive performance. We investigated the correlation between p tau 181 in serum and...
diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) parameters in a cross-sectional study to address this question.

**Materials and methods**

**Data Acquisition**

Data used in this article’s preparation were obtained from the Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database (adni.loni.usc.edu). The ADNI was launched in 2003 as a public-private partnership, led by Principal Investigator Michael W. Weiner, MD. The primary goal of ADNI has been to test whether serial magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), positron emission tomography (PET), other biological markers, and clinical and neuropsychological assessment can be combined to measure the progression of mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and early AD. ADNI participants are recruited from across the United States and Canada. We extracted data from baseline visits of patients from the ADNI-2 and ADNI-3 cohort for whom demographic data, CSF Amyloid β, CSF p tau, CSF total tau, and plasma p tau 181 tau levels from baseline visits were available. We also extracted the baseline Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) scan data of all available patients in the cohort. Our observational cross-sectional study consisted of 21 patients with AD, with their baseline plasma p tau 181, CSF Amyloid β, CSF total tau, and CSF p tau levels and their Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) scan data all acquired at the Banner Alzheimer’s Institute (Phoenix, Arizona) and downloaded on December 4, 2018 (http://adni.loni.usc.edu).

In summary, ADNI participants aged between 55 and 90 were all willing to undergo all test procedures, including lumbar punctures at the screening visit and DTI scan. Patients were excluded if they had a high ischemic score in their diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging, a recent change in medications in the four weeks before the study, less than six years of education or depression. None of the subjects took cholinesterase inhibitors, antidepressant medications with anticholinergic properties, neuroleptic agents, antiparkinsonian drugs, chronic anxiolytics, and sedative-hypnotics diuretics, or were regular narcotic users at the time or within four weeks before the screening visit. AD and MCI patients were allowed to take cholinesterase inhibitors and memantine if the dosing had not changed within four weeks prior to the screening visit. The same drug exclusion criterion was applied for 6- and 12-month follow-ups.

Classification of patients as MCI or AD was based on either of the following criteria: (i) mini-mental state examination (MMSE) score above 24 and under 30 for MCI and higher than 20 and lower than 24 for AD, (ii) clinical dementia rating (CDR) score of 0.5 with memory box score 0.5 for MCI and 0.5 or 1 for AD, or (iii) logical memory II subscale of the Wechsler memory scale below the age categorized cut off values. All patients also fulfilled the National Institute of Neurologic and Communicative Disorders and Stroke and the Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association criteria (16).

**Plasma p tau 181 Measurements**

ADNI samples were analyzed by the Single-Molecule array (Simoa) technique, using an in-house assay developed in the Clinical Neurochemistry Laboratory, University of Gothenburg, Sweden. The assay uses a combination of two monoclonal antibodies (Tau12 and AT270) and measures N-terminal to mid-domain forms of P-tau181. Details of the assay can be found in (11). Calibrators were run as duplicates, while plasma samples were measured in singlicate.

**CSF biomarkers measurements**

Baseline CSF samples were obtained from all subjects in the morning after overnight fasting, as described in the ADNI procedures manual (http://www.adni-info.org/). CSF samples from each site were stored in polypropylene transfer tubes and shipped to the ADNI Biomarker Core laboratory at the University of Pennsylvania Medical Center on dry ice within 1 hour after collection. Aliquots (0.5 mL) were prepared from these samples after thawing, then stored at 80°C (17). All CSF Aβ1-42, t-tau, and p-tau181 concentration measurements have been made using the micro-bead-based multiplex immunoassay, the INNO-BIA AlzBio3 RUO test (Fujirebio, Ghent, Belgium) (18), on the Luminex platform.

**DTI Imaging Processing**

We extracted the results of the DTI ROI analysis from ADNI. For each subject, all raw DWI volumes were aligned to the average b0 image (DTI volume with no diffusion sensitization) using the FSL eddy_correct tool (www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl) to correct for head motion and eddy current distortions. All extracerebral tissue was roughly removed from the T1-weighted anatomical scans using several software packages, primarily ROBEX, a robust automated brain extraction program trained on manually “skull-striped” MRI data from (19) and Freesurfer (20). The resulting skull-stripped volumes were visually inspected, and the best one was selected and sometimes further manually edited. Anatomical scans subsequently underwent intensity inhomogeneity normalization using the MNI nu_correct tool (www.bic.mni.mcgill.ca/software/). Non-brain tissue was also removed from the diffusion-weighted images using the brain.

Extraction Tool (BET) from FSL (21). To align data from different subjects into the same 3D coordinate space, each T1-the weighted anatomical image was linearly aligned to a version of the Colin27 brain template (22) using FSL’s flirt (23) with 6 degrees of freedom to allow translations and rotations in 3D. The Colin27 brain was zero-padded to have a cubic isotropic image size 220x220x220 1mm^3 and then downsampled (110x110x110 2mm^3) to be more similar to the DWI resolution.

To correct for echo-planar imaging (EPI) induced susceptibility artifacts, which can cause distortions at tissue-fluid interfaces, skull-stripped b0 images were linearly aligned to their respective T1-weighted structural scans using FSL’s
with 9 degrees of freedom and then elastically registered to their aligned T1 scans using an inverse consistent registration algorithm with a mutual information cost function as described in (24). The resulting 3D deformation fields were then applied to the remaining 41 DWI volumes prior to mapping diffusion parameters. To account for linearly registering the average b0 from the DWI images to the structural T1-weighted scan, a corrected gradient table was calculated.

A single diffusion tensor was modeled at each voxel in the brain from the eddy- and EPI-corrected DWI scans using FSL’s _dtifit_ command, and scalar anisotropy and diffusivity maps were obtained from the resulting diffusion tensor eigenvalues ($\lambda_1$, $\lambda_2$, $\lambda_3$). Fractional anisotropy (FA) was calculated from the standard formula.

We registered the FA image from the JHU DTI atlas (26) to each subject using a previously described mutual information-based elastic registration algorithm (24). We then applied the deformation to the stereotactic JHU “Eve” WM atlas labels (http://cmrm.med.jhmi.edu/cmrm/atlas/human_data/file/AtlasExplanation2.htm ) using nearest neighbor interpolation to avoid intermixing of labels. This placed the atlas ROIs in the same coordinate space as our DTI maps. We were then able to calculate the average FA and MD within the boundaries of each of the ROI masks for each subject. Of the 56 WM ROIs, we excluded 4 ROIs, the left and right middle cerebellar peduncle, and the pontine crossing tract, as they often fall wholly or partially out of the field of view (FOV). We note that this is also occasionally true of the left and right medial lemniscus, inferior and superior peduncles. We only included non-zero voxels within the FOV in our calculations of mean FA and MD. In addition to the 52 JHU labels, five more ROIs were evaluated: the bilateral fornix, bilateral genu, bilateral body, and bilateral splenium of the corpus callosum and the full corpus callosum, to get full summary measures of the regions.

Tensor-based spatial statistics (27) were also performed, and the mean FA in regions of interest along the skeleton was extracted. TBSS was performed according to protocols outlined by the ENIGMA-DTI group: http://enigma.loni.ucla.edu/wpcontent/uploads/2012/06/ENIGMA_TBSS_protocol.pdf

In short, all subjects were registered to the ENIGMA-DTI template in ICBM space and standard _tbss_ steps were performed to project individual FA maps onto the skeletonized ENIGMA-DTI template. ROI extraction was also performed according to the following protocol to extract the mean FA in ROIs along with the skeleton: http://enigma.loni.ucla.edu/wpcontent/uploads/2012/06/ENIGMA_ROI_protocol.pdf.

**Cognitive assessments**

The patients’ cognitive condition is assessed by the Mini-Mental State Exam (MMSE) which is a widely used test of cognitive function among the elderly; it includes tests of orientation, attention, memory, language, and visual-spatial skills. MMSE scores were extracted for each patient from the ADNI Mini-Mental Examination.

**Statistical Analyses**

We used SPSS16 software for statistical analyses. We investigate the correlation between DTI parameters for each region and CSF biomarkers and the correlation between CSF and plasma biomarkers with each other and with MMSE score, Age, white matter hyperintensity, gray matter volume, white matter volume, and hippocampus volume using single linear regression. Next, we create a multiple linear regression model with age, gender, and plasma p tau 181 level as a covariate and DTI parameters in each region as a dependent variable. Finally, to confirm the result of multiple regression, we used a partial correlation between plasma p tau 181 and microstructural measures that controlled for the effect of age and sex. We examined the reliability of significant regions with a type I error rate set at 0.05.

**Table1. Patient Characteristic**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AD (N=21)</th>
<th>Sex(M/F)</th>
<th>Average age(SD)</th>
<th>Average disease duration in years</th>
<th>Education in years</th>
<th>Mean MMSE score (SD)</th>
<th>Mean CDR score (SD)</th>
<th>Cedar University</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14/7</td>
<td>74.9±9.4</td>
<td>2.475</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>23.3±1.45</td>
<td>0.786±0.25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In an exploratory analysis, we investigated the potential correlation of connectometry values of different brain regions and each patient’s characteristics with plasma p tau 181 and CSF levels of Aβ, total Tau, and p tau.

**Patient characteristic**

In this study, baseline cohort information of 21 patients diagnosed with Alzheimer’s was entered. The mean age was 74.9 years, with a minimum of 61 years and a maximum of 90 years, and included 14 (66.7%) men and 7 (33.3%) women. Patients were all educated, and the average length of the education was 16.7 years. The mean time interval between diagnosis and study time is 2.475 years, and the mean scores of the MMSE test were 23.3, and CDR was 0.78 (Tab1).
Comprehensive Analysis

The single linear regression results showed that age is not a predictor for CSF biomarkers levels, and there is no correlation between age and p tau 181 plasma level and MMSE score. Education level is not related to other variables, and the mean time interval between diagnosis and study time is not related to CSF and plasma biomarkers.

Linear regression analysis for each revealed that the amount of total tau protein and phosphorylated tau protein in CSF was not related to MMSE score, hippocampal, fusiform, white matter hyperintensity, white matter, and gray matter volume and also do not have the ability to predict the serum level of p tau 181. Nevertheless, there was a significant relationship between p tau and t tau levels in CSF (p <0.05).

Amyloid β levels in CSF correlated with white matter volume (p <0.05), and this biomarker is a strong predictor of plasma levels of p tau 181 (p <0.05). However, also no relationship was observed between this biomarker and other CSF biomarkers.

Table 2. Significant Results of Pearson’s Correlation Analyses of DTI metrics and Plasma p Tau 181 Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Fractional anisotropy</th>
<th>Mean diffusivity</th>
<th>Radial diffusivity</th>
<th>Axial diffusivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right hippocampal cingulum</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>-0.488*</td>
<td>-0.483*</td>
<td>-0.481*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior limb of right internal capsule</td>
<td>0.541*</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.136</td>
<td>0.384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right superior fronto-occipital fasciculus</td>
<td>0.503*</td>
<td>-0.286</td>
<td>-0.297</td>
<td>-0.264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left superior fronto-occipital fasciculus</td>
<td>0.511*</td>
<td>-0.174</td>
<td>-0.189</td>
<td>-0.144</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05
Pearson’s correlation coefficient of DTI metrics value of the brain regions and plasma p tau 181 levels controlled for age and sex.

Furthermore, the results of the similar analysis for p tau also indicate that there is an association between CSF p tau and imaging parameters in corpus callosum, posterior corona radiate, posterior limb of internal capsule, posterior thalamic radiation, retrolenticular part of internal capsule, superior corona radiata, superior fronto-occipital fasciculus, Anterior limb of internal capsule (p <0.05).

Plasma p tau 181 and microstructural changes

To investigate white matter pathways related to plasma p tau 181, we created multiple linear regression models, including age, sex, and p tau 181. The analysis revealed that the plasma level of p tau 181 could predict changes of MD, RD, AD parameters in the right hippocampal cingulum (p <0.05), and there is an association between its level and FA change in the anterior limb of the right internal capsule and bilateral superior fronto-occipital fasciculus. In the next step to confirm the DTI connectometry approach results, we investigated the relationship between p tau 181 and microstructural changes in the mentioned areas in using partial correlation controlled for the effect of age and sex (Tab2). A significant negative relationship was observed between p tau 181 and MD (Pearson’s coefficient = -0.488), RD (Pearson’s coefficient = -0.483), and AD (Pearson’s coefficient = -0.481) in the right hippocampal cingulum. P tau 181 is also positively correlated with changes of FA in the anterior limb of the right internal capsule (Pearson’s coefficient = 0.541), right superior fronto-occipital fasciculus (Pearson’s coefficient = 0.503), and left superior fronto-occipital fasciculus (Pearson’s coefficient = 0.511).

Discussion

In a cross-sectional study based on the ADNI cohort, we concluded that the plasma level of p tau 181 independently predicts microstructural changes in the brain of Alzheimer’s patients. We used a step-by-step strategy; first, we investigate the relationship between CSF biomarkers, plasma p tau 181, and patient characteristics. Then we narrowed the study to
examine the correlation between CSF biomarkers and plasma p tau 181 with DTI connectometry values in each region. Then we used a partial correlation model controlled for age and sex to confirm the results.

Baseline plasma p tau 181 levels are associated with regional white matter changes in AD patients in the disease's pathological areas, including the right hippocampal cingulum, anterior limb of the internal capsule, and bilateral superior fronto-occipital fasciculus. Our study results about CSF biomarkers' correlations are in line with Claudia Drummond et al. study, which shows the negative correlation between CSF biomarkers and MD values changes in the Uncinofasciculusr lateral parahippocampal cingulate and occipitofrontal fasciculus (28). Similarly, X Li et al. Found that pathological levels of Aβ42 and CSF total tau in people with Alzheimer's-related cognitive impairments correlated with decreased FA and increased MD in the white matter pathway (29). Although CSF tau and plasma p tau 181 have a significant overlap in predicting changes in the fronto-occipital fasciculus and anterior limb of the right internal capsule. Nevertheless, unlike plasma p tau 181, CSF tau had no significant association with changes in the hippocampal cingulum. The absence of correlation between CSF tau and cingulum contradicts the results of Vidar Stenset et al., which identified CSF tau as a predictor of FA and RD changes in the cingulum (30). On the other hand, plasma tau concentration is associated with several memory-related structures in the medial temporal lobe, including the parahippocampus and hippocampus (31).

In the onset of dementia and cognitive decline, many areas seem to change. The internal capsule, fronto-occipital fasciculus, and hippocampal cingulum in AD people change compared to healthy people without cognitive problems (33). In our study, plasma p tau 181 levels and CSF biomarkers were significantly associated with these areas, indicating that our findings are in line with previous studies investigating microstructural changes related to Alzheimer's. As results of our analysis, plasma p tau 181 mostly predicted structural changes in the right hippocampal cingulum, which indicate the importance of the cingulum as an important area in the pathological course of the disease, and there is also evidence of a link between CSF p tau and Aβ with a change of MD in the cingulum region (34). Moreover, the research results provide compelling evidence in support of our findings and state that brain connectivity in the posterior cingulum can be a good predictor for cognitive decline in Alzheimer's disease (35). The cingulum bundle is an important white matter tract that connects the frontal, parietal, and medial temporal, linking the subcortical nucleus to the cingulate gyrus and extending into the hippocampal and parahippocampal regions, and for that damage in areas close to the hippocampus in the cingulum causes cognitive problems in many domains such as language, memory and executive control (36).

Another notable finding of our study was the absence of association between CSF biomarkers and serum levels of p tau 181, which was similar to Fossati et al. result that showed plasma tau levels were independent of CSF levels and the reason for this finding was the measurement of different biological compartments of tau (37).

Biomarkers in CSF may be active several years before the onset of symptoms, and Aβ and Tau are most critical (38). Many studies have emphasized the diagnostic role of t tau and p tau in CSF and state that they can predict the progression to dementia (39-42). On the other hand, some studies present different findings (43, 44). Despite this, in predicting AD by each of these biomarkers alone, p-tau preferred in terms of specificity and sensitivity (38).

Studies have been conducted on plasma biomarkers in recent years, and there is great hope for it. Evidence revealed that plasma p tau 181 and t tau levels have a high diagnostic value, and their levels are much higher in AD subjects than in MCI and healthy control (45). However, studies have described p tau 181 better than t tau (9, 10). The largest plasma p tau 181 study in the diagnosis of Alzheimer’s, which included the results of four independent cohorts, states that plasma p tau 181 has a high performance in identifying the clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer’s patients with an unknown amyloid status and was able to differentiate Alzheimer’s disease from other neurodegenerative diseases and with Aβ PET can detect Alzheimer’s in the early stages (11).

In conclusion, our study results show that plasma p tau 181 levels are associated with microstructural changes in pathogenesis areas of Alzheimer’s disease, which enhance this biomarker’s diagnostic status. Due to the increasing population with Alzheimer's and the resulting social costs and considering that AD's pathogenesis exists several years before its clinical signs, achieving a reliable biomarker with adequate sensitivity and specificity is necessary. Although plasma p tau 181 is superior to CSF biomarkers and imaging techniques in terms of availability, low cost, and non-invasiveness. More efforts should be made to standardize biomarkers' measurement and define their pathological threshold. Longitudinal studies are also necessary to prove the efficacy of these biomarkers predicting role in structural changes.
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